



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------

08/988,292 12/10/97 THEELWES F ARC-2600-R1

D BYRON MILLER
ALZA CORPORATION
950 PAGE MILL ROAD
PALO ALTO CA 94303-0802

QM12/1102

EXAMINER

RODRIGUEZ, C

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3763

14

DATE MAILED: 11/02/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Interview Summary

Application No.

08/988,292

Applicant(s)

Theeuwes et al

Examiner

CRIS L. RODRIGUEZ

Group Art Unit

3763



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) CRIS L. RODRIGUEZ

(3) _____

(2) Owen Bates

(4) _____

Date of Interview Oct 26, 2000Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☒ Yes ☐ No. If yes, brief description:See attached proposed amendmentAgreement ☐ was reached. ☒ was not reached.Claim(s) discussed: 1

Identification of prior art discussed:

Lee et al(EP 0 429 842)

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

The proposed amendment seems to overcome the art of rejection. However, it raises a 112 problem of no antecedent basis. Applicant is going to file a CPA amending the claims.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.